

Foraging opportunity: a crucial criterion for horse welfare?

H. Benhajali^{1,3}, M.-A. Richard-Yris¹, M. Ezzaouia², F. Charfi³ and M. Hausberger^{1†}

¹Université de Rennes I, Ethologie Animale et Humaine, UMR CNRS 6552, Campus de Beaulieu, 263 avenue du Général Leclerc, 35042, Rennes cedex, France; ²Haras national de Sidi Thabet 2020, Tunisia; ³Université Tunis-ElManar, Unité de Biologie Animale et de Systématique Evolutive, Campus universitaire, 2060, Tunis, Tunisia

(Received 21 January 2008; Accepted 22 April 2009; First published online 28 May 2009)

This study aimed at determining the effect of the increase of foraging opportunities on the behaviour and welfare of breeding mares housed in individual boxes but allowed outside 6 h a day in a bare paddock. One hundred Arab breeding mares were divided into two groups of 50 according to the treatment and allowed outside in two bare paddocks at the same density (115 mare/ha) where water and shelter were provided. The treatment consisted in providing the opportunity to forage on hay. Twenty-minute animal focal samplings and scan samplings were used to determine the time budget of the mares during the period from 0900 to 1500 h and study their social behaviour. A total of 300 focal sampling (6000 min), 3300 individual scan sampling (6000 min) and 62 group observations (1240 min) corresponding to the 100 mares were recorded. Non-parametric tests were used to analyse data. Results showed that experimental mares spent more time feeding ($65.12\% \pm 2.40\%$ v. $29.75\% \pm 2.45\%$, $P < 0.01$) and less time in locomotion ($11.70\% \pm 1.31\%$ v. $23.56\% \pm 1.34\%$, $P < 0.01$), stand resting ($11.76\% \pm 2.57\%$ v. $27.52\% \pm 2.62\%$, $P < 0.01$) and alert standing ($5.23\% \pm 1.2\%$ v. $14.71\% \pm 1.23\%$, $P < 0.01$). There was more bonding among experimental mares than control ones (26 v. 14, $P < 0.05$). Experimental mares showed more positive social interactions ($P < 0.01$) and less aggression ($P < 0.01$). These results suggest that giving densely housed mares foraging opportunities improves their welfare.

Keywords: welfare, time-budget, mare, social behaviour, foraging opportunities

Introduction

Many animals such as horses, primates and pigs spend a large portion of their daily activity budget in the search for and consumption of food in their natural habitats (Herbers, 1981). In captivity, food is generally offered to these animals and foraging opportunities are often restricted. As a consequence, captive animals spend less time feeding than their free-ranging counterparts. The reduction of the time spent foraging was associated with the emergence of stereotypies and abnormal behaviour in many species such as horses (McGreevy *et al.*, 1995), pigs (Appleby and Lawrence, 1987), primates (Marriner and Drickamer, 1994), captive giraffe and okapi (Bashaw *et al.*, 2001). Conversely, enrichment through devices where animals spend more time searching for food is associated with an increase of foraging time and a decrease of abnormal behaviour in porcine, equine and primates (Young *et al.*, 1994; Goodwin *et al.*, 2002). Data such as these made the increase of time spent foraging one of the main goals of environmental enrichment for several captive species.

This aspect is even more important in species such as horses which have evolved specific digestive physiology and anatomy around their natural diet and feeding behaviour of trickle feeding for long periods (Harris, 1999). In the wild, the horse would spend up to 16 to 18 h a day foraging a high fibre and low starch diet and they rarely fast voluntarily for more than 2 to 4 h at a time (Harris, 2005). The stomach volume of an adult horse is relatively small (9 to 15 l) and inelastic. Ingested food remains there for about 20 mn. The rate of gastric emptying is dependent on the square root of the volume. Large meals may therefore result in an increased rate of gastric emptying, an increased gut transit time and a decrease in the digestion of the available starch within the small intestine. This increases the risk of gastrointestinal disturbances due to changes such as the lysis of certain bacteria which release endotoxins that may be absorbed, increasing the risk of colic, diarrhoea and laminitis (Harris, 1999).

All these arguments cited above support the hypothesis that reduced opportunities for foraging in captivity may be a source of stress and poor welfare for domestic horses. In a recent paper (Benhajali *et al.*, 2008), we drew up the

† E-mail: martine.hausberger@univ-rennes1.fr

behavioural repertoire and time budget of a dense group of Arab breeding mares (200 mares/ha) housed in individual boxes but allowed outside 6 h a day in a bare paddock where water and shelter were available. The study showed that in potentially inappropriate conditions of extreme social density and lack of foraging opportunities, the behavioural repertoire, the time budget and the social behaviour of the mares might be affected suggesting a state of a poor welfare. Here we test the possibility that these aspects can be improved by the increase of foraging opportunities.

Material and methods

Animals and study site

The experiment was conducted between the 1st April and 11th June 2006 at the national breeding facility of Sidi Thabet, located 20 km from Tunis, Tunisia. Mares are brought to this facility every year in order to be bred with the stallions housed there. They were housed in individual boxes at night where they received barley grain (4 kg per day) and hay (10 kg per day) every morning and evening (or evening only, see further). They were released every day from 0900 to 1500 h in a paddock where free access to water and limited shelter (five trees) were provided. No food was available then, but some freshly cut grass was left on the ground around 1400 h every day. It was generally entirely consumed by the mares in the following hour. Temperatures ranged from 7°C to 40°C during the experiment.

We used 100 purebred Arab mares, aged 4 to 21 years ($\bar{x} = 8.49 \pm 4.96$) among which 29 were maiden (had never been bred before) and the rest were barren (were not pregnant the previous season). They had been present at the stud for 1 week at least and 3 weeks at most. They were housed all the time in individual boxes before the experiment. None had been bred for the ongoing season on 1st April 2006. A plastic name tag attached to a collar was used for the identification of each mare.

Experimental procedure

The 100 mares were randomly divided into two groups:

- In the experimental group ($N = 50$), 50 haynets were hung in the paddock and filled with 5 kg of hay every morning before the arrival of the mares. Haynets were placed 3 m apart.
- In the control group ($N = 50$), no hay was provided in the paddock.

Some horses were familiar to each other before coming in these groups (six pairs in the experimental group and eight pairs in the control one). Both groups were kept in two similar bare paddocks (4350 m²) at the same density (115 mares/ha). As we were limited by the number of available paddocks for this experiment in the facility, it was not possible for us to replicate animal groups. All the mares were kept in individual boxes for the night under the

same management conditions. However, the experimental mares received hay only in the evening while in the box, so that both control and experimental animals had the same total amount of food and differed only in their temporal distribution.

Data collection

Behavioural observations. Observations were made between 0900 and 1500 h and were performed for each mare using both focal and scan samplings (Altman, 1974). Each mare was observed in three sessions of 20 min each, using focal sampling to record all social interactions and rare behaviour like rolling, eliminating and vocalisations. Scan sampling was carried out every 2 min (total = 33 scans per mare) to record the activity of the focused mare, the distance and identity of the closest neighbour. The distance of closest neighbour was measured in 'horse lengths' (contact, 0.5, 1, 2, 3 horse length from any part of the body of the focal animal). When this distance was greater than 3, the mare was considered as 'isolated'. Preferred social partners were identified as the most frequently closest neighbours (more often than expected by chance) using chi-square tests ($P < 0.05$). Observations were additionally performed for 20 min twice a day (total = 31 sessions per group), during which all social interactions and rare behaviour were recorded (behavioural sampling). The ethogram used was that of McDonnell (2003). Locomotion includes exploratory, active walk, trot and gallop. We distinguished agonistic (avoidance, retreat, flight, head threat, kick threat, bite threat, bite and kick) and positive social (nose–nose contact, nose–body contact and allogrooming) interactions. The occurrence of pawing and interaction with the observer were coded as 'other behaviour'.

Ethical point. The high animal density in this study was usual in this facility but this was not designed for research purposes.

Statistical analysis. Behavioural observations were recorded using Microsoft Office Excel 2003 software. Statistical analyses were conducted using the Statistica (v. 6.1; Statsoft, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA) statistical program. All values are given as mean \pm s.e. All the behavioural data were checked for normality and homoscedasticity, employing Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene Median tests. Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests indicated that even after data transformation only time spent feeding and time spent locomoting were normally distributed (P -values > 0.15 and 0.2 respectively) while homoscedasticity was not observed in all the samples (all P -values < 0.01) which did not allow the parametric analysis of variance to be used in order to analyse the data (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995). Thus, the Mann–Whitney non-parametric (U) and chi-square (χ^2) tests were used to compare the groups. The confidence interval was 95% ($P < 0.05$). The bonferroni's correction was used to correct for multiple comparison effects.

Results

A total of 300 focal sampling (6000 h), 3300 individual scan sampling (6000 h) and 62 group observations (1240 min) corresponding to 100 mares were recorded.

Repertoire and time budget

Behavioural repertoires tended to differ between both groups as some behaviours (allogrooming and lying down either lateral or sternal) were absent from the repertoire of all the control mares but present in the experimental group. Lying down was observed only four times (two times in lateral position and two times in sternal position) during the focal samplings and only in three experimental mares. Positive social interactions seen were allogrooming (only in the experimental group), nose–nose and nose–body contact (in the two groups). Six out of the seven allogroomings observed during the focal samplings in the experimental group occurred between preferred social partners.

Individual scan samplings revealed significant differences in time budgets between the experimental and control mares (Table 1). Experimental mares spent three times more time feeding, twice less time in locomotion, stand resting and alert standing than the control mares (Mann–Whitney test, all *P*-values <0.001, Table 1).

Except for lying down which was observed only in the experimental group (0.08 ± 0.42), there was no significant difference between the two groups in the occurrence of drinking (0.40 ± 1.20 in control group *v.* 0.49 ± 1.10 in experimental group), defecating (0.40 ± 1.20 in control group *v.* 0.30 ± 0.91 in experimental group), urinating (0.10 ± 0.50 in control group *v.* 0.18 ± 0.70 in experimental group) and rolling (0.18 ± 0.70 in control group *v.* 0.10 ± 0.63 in experimental group) (all *P*-values >0.05).

Social behaviour

The frequency of social interactions observed during individual focal samplings was significantly higher in the control group (Figure 1). Experimental mares performed fewer social interactions per hour per mare than the control mares and while positive social interactions were relatively scarce in the two groups, they were significantly more frequent in the experimental one (*P* < 0.01, Figure 1). On the contrary, social interactions observed in the control group were primarily agonistic (Figure 1). Results obtained showed that there was significantly more bonding (between peers) in the experimental group than in the control one. Twenty-six

experimental mares *v.* only 14 in the control group had a preferred social partner ($\chi^2 = 5.54, P < 0.05$). The experimental mares showed allogrooming 0.13 ± 0.62 times per mare per hour on average while control mares were never seen allogrooming.

Discussion

The present study where one densely housed group of mares was given the opportunity to forage *ad libitum* on hay in a bare paddock shows that this simple procedure had important consequences on their behaviour and welfare as compared to control mares which lacked this opportunity. Thus, the experimental group spent more time feeding, less time alert standing, stand resting and locomotion and had less agonistic interactions, more positive social interactions and bonding between mares.

The increase of the time spent foraging in the experimental group can of course be explained by the availability of hay in the experimental paddock and may explain the observed decrease of the time spent stand resting as also observed by Duncan (1980) and Boyd and Bandi (2002). The lower time spent in locomotion and in alert standing in the experimental group could be a sign of a lowered level of stress in this group. Indeed, locomotion increases in stressful conditions (Houpt and Houpt, 1989) and vigilance behaviour such as alert standing may be an indicator of acute (Morgan and Tromborg, 2007) or chronic stress (Carlstead *et al.*, 1993) and are used to assess emotionality in horses (Wolff *et al.*, 1997).




Figure 1 Frequencies per mare per hour of social interactions, agonistic interactions, positive social interactions and allogrooming observed during focal samplings (columns show mean ± s.e., significant differences (*P* < 0.05) are indicated by the symbol *).

Table 1 Time budget of observed mares: mean percentage of scans spent in the different activities ± s.e.

	Control group mean ± s.e. (%)	Experimental group mean ± s.e. (%)	<i>U</i> -value	
Feeding	29.75 ± 2.45	65.12 ± 2.40	189.0	<i>P</i> < 0.001
Stand resting	27.52 ± 2.62	11.76 ± 2.57	654.5	<i>P</i> < 0.001
Locomotion	23.56 ± 1.34	11.70 ± 1.31	454.0	<i>P</i> < 0.001
Alert standing	14.71 ± 1.23	5.23 ± 1.20	560.5	<i>P</i> < 0.001

Only the behaviours that differed statistically between groups are shown here.

In addition, the increase of foraging opportunities in this study had led to a decrease in the level of agonistic behaviour bringing it closer to that found in pastured and free-ranging horses (Clutton-Brock *et al.*, 1976; Wells and von Goldschmidt-Rotschild, 1979; Boyd, 1988) which also may be a sign of better welfare (Waring, 2003). Similar results were found in primates (Chamove *et al.*, 1982), pigs (Beattie *et al.*, 2000), rats (Johnson *et al.*, 2004) and laying hens (Huber-Eicher and Wechsler, 1998). Giving mares the possibility to forage also led to an increase in the level of positive social behaviour. Data on environmental enrichment effects on positive social behaviour are scarce and conflicting. Feeding enrichment for primates increased positive social interactions in some trials (e.g. Ludes and Anderson, 1996) but decreased them in other trials (e.g. Byrne and Suomi, 1991). The provision of a nest box increased fighting and plasma corticosterone in mice, but also improved immune system function and increased the frequency of positive social behaviour (Marashi *et al.*, 2003).

The low level of positive social behaviour in the control group and in particular the absence of allogrooming, a very general characteristic of horse groups (e.g. Sigurjónsdóttir *et al.*, 2003) may be explained by the rarity of bonding as it is generally performed by preferred social partners (e.g. van Dierendonck *et al.*, 2004). Here, while distance between haynets may have favoured closer proximity between mares, it does not explain by itself the preferences for social partners, clearly enhanced in the experimental group. Even though the time spent allogrooming in the experimental group remains lower than those reported in domestic (Sweeting and Houpt, 1987; 2%) and Przewalski horses (Boyd *et al.*, 1988; 2.2%), it may reveal, in addition to the higher level of bonding, a stronger social structure in the experimental groups (van Dierendonck *et al.*, 2004) which is now being recognized, in horse behaviour research, as important for the well-being of domestic horses (Feh, 2005).

Group replication, which was not possible in this study, is certainly important to dissociate the effect of the treatment from that of the group composition. However, this does not preclude the fact that this study can be a source of important reflection as some previous studies (Cockram *et al.*, 1996). As mares were randomly assigned into groups, it is unlikely that the initial composition of the groups plays an important role in the differences observed in this study.

Conclusion

This study in a densely housed group of mares shows that the increase of time spent foraging affects the behavioural repertoire, time budget and social behaviour of mares, bringing them closer to their natural state. Behavioural changes generated by the increase of foraging opportunities seem to indicate a better welfare in the treated mares. To our knowledge, this is the first study showing the effect of foraging opportunities on social behaviour of domestic horses and to suggest that the amount and quality

of social interactions may be a useful behavioural indicator of welfare assessment in this species.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank all the staff of FNARC (Fédération Nationale d'Amélioration de la Race Chevaline, Tunisia) for providing the facilities to complete this study. They are also grateful to Miss Alice Deboyer des Roches for her help in doing this experiment and to Mrs Jane Hall for correcting English.

References

- Altman J 1974. Observational study of behaviour: sampling methods. *Behaviour* 49, 227–267.
- Appleby MC and Lawrence AB 1987. Food restriction as a cause of stereotypic behaviour in tethered gilts. *Animal Production* 45, 103–110.
- Bashaw MJ, Tarou LR, Maki TS and Maple TL 2001. A survey assessment of variables related to stereotypy in captive giraffe and okapi. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 73, 235–247.
- Beattie VE, O'Connell NE and Moss BW 2000. Influence of environmental enrichment on the behaviour, performance and meat quality of domestic pigs. *Livestock Production Science* 65, 71–79.
- Benhajali H, Richard-Yris MA, Leroux M, Ezzaouia M, Charfi F and Hausberger M 2008. A note on the time budget and behavioural repertoire of densely housed horses. A case study in domestic horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 112, 196–200.
- Boyd LE 1988. Time budgets of adult Przewalski horses: effects of sex, reproductive status and enclosure. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 21, 19–39.
- Boyd L and Bandi N 2002. Reintroduction of takhi, *Equus ferus przewalskii*, to Hustai National Park, Mongolia: time budget and synchrony of activity pre- and post-release. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 78, 87–102.
- Boyd LE, Carbonaro DA and Houpt KA 1988. The 24-hour time budget of Przewalski horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 21, 5–17.
- Byrne GD and Suomi SJ 1991. Effects of woodchips and buried food on behavior patterns and psychological well-being of captive rhesus monkeys. *American Journal of Primatology* 23, 141–151.
- Carlstead K, Brown JL and Strawn W 1993. Behavioural and physiological correlates of stress in laboratory cats. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 38, 143–158.
- Chamove AS, Anderson JR, Morgan-Jones SC and Jones SP 1982. Deep woodchip litter: hygiene, feeding, and behavioural enhancement in eight primate species. *International Journal for the Study of Animal Problems* 3, 308–318.
- Clutton-Brock TH, Greenwood PJ and Powell RP 1976. Ranks and relationships in Highland ponies and Highland cows. *Zeitschrift fuer Tierpsychologie* 41, 202–216.
- Cockram MS, Kent JE and Goddard PJ 1996. Effect of space allowance during transport on the behavioural and physiological responses of lambs during and after transport. *Animal Science* 62, 461–477.
- van Dierendonck MC, Sigurjonsdottir H, Colenbrander B and Thorhallsdottir AG 2004. Differences in social behaviour between late pregnant, post-partum and barren mares in a herd of Icelandic horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 89, 283–297.
- Duncan P 1980. Time-budgets of Camargue horses. II. Time-budgets of adult horses and weaned sub-adults. *Behaviour* 72, 26–49.
- Feh C 2005. Relationships and communication in socially natural horse herds. In *The domestic horse, the evolution, development and management of its behaviour* (ed. D Mills and S McDonnell), pp. 83–93. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Goodwin D, Davidson HPB and Harris P 2002. Foraging enrichment for stabled horses: effects on behaviour and selection. *Equine Veterinary Journal* 34, 686–691.
- Harris PA 1999. How understanding the digestive process can help minimise digestive disturbances due to diet and feeding practices. In *Proceedings BEVA*

- Specialist meeting on Nutrition and Behaviour (ed. PA Harris, G Gomarsall, HPB Davidson and R Green), pp. 45–50. Equine Veterinary Journal, Newmarket, UK.
- Harris PA 2005. Nutrition, behaviour and the role of supplements for calming horses: the veterinarian's dilemma. *Veterinary Journal* 170, 10–11.
- Herbers JM 1981. Time resources and laziness in animals. *Oecologia* 49, 252–262.
- Houpt KA and Houpt TR 1989. Social and illumination preferences of mares. *Journal of Animal Science* 66, 2159–2164.
- Huber-Eicher B and Wechsler B 1998. The effect of quality and availability of foraging materials on feather pecking in laying hen chicks. *Animal Behaviour* 55, 861–873.
- Johnson SR, Patterson-Kane EG and Niel L 2004. Foraging enrichment for laboratory rats. *Animal Welfare* 13, 305–312.
- Ludis E and Anderson JR 1996. Comparison of the behaviour of captive white-faced capuchin monkeys (*Cebus capucinus*) in the presence of four kinds of deep litter. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 49, 293–303.
- Marashi V, Barnekow A, Ossendorf E and Sachser N 2003. Effects of different forms of environmental enrichment on behavioural, endocrinological, and immunological parameters in mice. *Hormone and Behavior* 43, 281–292.
- Marriner LM and Drickamer LC 1994. Factors influencing stereotyped behaviour of primates in a zoo. *Zoo Biology* 13, 267–275.
- McDonnell SM 2003. The equid ethogram. A practical field guide to horse behavior. Eclipse Press, Lexington, Kentucky, USA.
- McGreevy PD, Cripps PJ, French NP, Green LE and Nicol CJ 1995. Management factors associated with stereotypic and redirected behaviour in the Thoroughbred horse. *Equine Veterinary Journal* 27, 86–91.
- Morgan KN and Tromborg CT 2007. Sources of stress in captivity. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 102, 262–302.
- Sigurjónsdóttir H, van Dierendonck MC, Snorrason S and Thórhallsdóttir AG 2003. Social relationships in a group of horses without a mature stallion. *Behaviour* 140, 783–804.
- Sokal RR and Rohlf FJ 1995. *Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research*, 3rd edition. W.H. Freeman and Company, New York, USA.
- Sweeting MP and Houpt KA 1987. Water consumption and time budgets of stabled pony (*Equus caballus*) geldings. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 17, 1–7.
- Waring GH 2003. *Horse behaviour*, 2nd edition. Noyes Publications/William Andrew Publishing, Norwich, New York, USA.
- Wells SM and von Goldschmidt-Rotschild B 1979. Social behaviour and relationships in a herd of Camargue horses. *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* 49, 363–380.
- Wolff A, Hausberger M and Le Scolan N 1997. Experimental tests to assess emotionality in horses. *Behavioural Processes* 40, 209–221.
- Young RJ, Carruthers J and Lawrence AB 1994. The effect of a foraging device (The 'Edinburgh Football') on the behaviour of pigs. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 39, 237–247.